

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,511	10/22/2001	Shannon Morris	SSV-83441	6509	
75	90 11/06/2003		EXAMI	INER	
Gary A. Clark			BUI, LUAN KIM		
	in, Richter & Hampton LL	P	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
48th Floor			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
333 South Hope Street			3728	19	
Los Angeles, C	A 90071-1448		DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/004,511	MORRIS, SHANNOI	N
<u> </u>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luan K Bui	3728	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second of	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final	Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-7 and 12-15</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 8-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. ☐ Other:		Luan K Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the knob of Grusin or Frost is inherently capable of receiving at least one finger ring. The Examiner is unpersuasive for the same reasons as stated in the final rejection during the interview with the applicant's representative on 11/04/2003.